



MMi Dashboard



铁矿石价格指数

62%铁矿石港口现货指数 (青岛港车板价·元/吨)



687

2 0.29%

06/05/2019

65%铁矿石港口现货指数 (青岛港车板价·元/吨)



762

14 1.87%

06/05/2019

58%铁矿石港口现货指数 (青岛港车板价·元/吨)



610

4 0.66%

06/05/2019

62%铁矿石海漂指数 (CFR青岛港口, 美元/干吨度)



91.80

-1.45 -1.55%

06/05/2019

65%铁矿石海漂指数 (CFR青岛港口, 美元/干吨度)



110.60

-0.85 -0.76%

06/05/2019

62.5块矿 (青岛港车板价·元/吨)



891

5 0.56%

2019/4/26 每周五

交易所期货合约

DCE Iron Ore 62% Fines
I1905 (Sept) RMB/t (3pm close)

638.00

-1.00 -0.16%

06/05/2019

(3pm close)

SGX Iron Ore (CFR Qingdao) 62% Fe Fines
May'19 USD/dmt

93.26

-0.96 -1.02%

06/05/2019

(6pm Print)

RB1910合约



3756

-72.00 -1.88%

06/05/2019

(3pm close)

海运费

C3 图巴朗-青岛 USD/t



15.65

-0.13 -0.84%

03/05/2019

C5 西澳-青岛 USD/t



6.58

-0.94 -12.51%

03/05/2019

螺纹钢价格 (元/吨)



4130

30 0.73%

2019/4/26(每周五)

库存水平

铁矿石港口库存 (35港)



125.46

-3.23 -2.51%

2019/4/26 每周五

百万吨

钢材库存 (百万吨)



13.82

-0.74 -5.05%

2019/4/26(每周五)

钢材价格

热卷价格 (元/吨)



3980

0 0.00%

2019/4/26(每周五)

进口矿港口现货指数 (IOPI)

| 06/05/2019 | | 青岛港 (车板价·元/吨, 湿基含税) | | | | | | 港口现货指数折美金 (美元/干吨, 不含税) ¹ | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|------|------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 较前一日 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ² | 最高 ² | 较前一日 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ² | 最高 ² | | |
| IOPI62 | 62% Fe Fines | 687 | 2 | 0.3% | 687 | 636 | 453 | 687 | 94.13 | 0.21 | 0.2% | 94.13 | 85.19 | 61.66 | 94.28 |
| IOPI58 | 58% Fe Fines | 610 | 4 | 0.7% | 610 | 548 | 317 | 610 | 84.04 | 0.50 | 0.6% | 84.04 | 73.00 | 41.71 | 83.54 |
| IOPI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 762 | 14 | 1.9% | 762 | 716 | 559 | 794 | 104.84 | 1.91 | 1.9% | 104.84 | 96.50 | 77.7 | 109.92 |

IRON ORE SEABORNE INDEX (IOSI)

每日综述

| 06/05/2019 | | 青岛港 (CFR, 美元/干吨) | | | | | | 根据SMM钢铁统计, 截止5月3日, 35个港口铁矿石库存为12540万吨, 环比“五一”节前12546万吨下降6万吨, 库存降幅大幅收窄。青岛、日照、京唐港口库存继续下降, 曹妃甸港库存增幅近100万吨。截止5月3日日均疏港环比节前继续增加3.2万吨至267.3万吨, 山东主要港口疏港小幅增量, 唐山地区因运输情况好转, 京唐以及曹妃甸疏港均恢复到30万吨以上日均疏港水平。预计本周钢厂需求或继续维持高位, 港口库存窄幅波动为主。今铁矿期货市场“一波三折”, 港口现货市场早盘报价维稳为主, 午盘随着盘面震荡下行, 多数商家报价延续坚挺; 临近尾盘, 连铁走强, 现货市场报价个中小涨。钢厂周初观望情绪浓厚, 整体成交冷清。 | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 较前一日 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ³ | 最高 ³ | 较前一日 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ³ | 最高 ³ |
| IOSI62 | 62% Fe Fines | 91.80 | -1.45 | -1.55% | 92.67 | 85.84 | 62.95 | 95.25 | | | | | |
| IOSI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 110.60 | -0.85 | -0.76% | 111.05 | 99.30 | 83.20 | 111.45 | | | | | |

铁矿石港口现货块矿指数 (IOPLI)

| 2019/5/3 每周五 | | 青岛港 (车板价·元/吨, 湿基含税) | | | | | | 青岛港 (CFR, 美元/干吨, 不含税) ⁴ | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------|------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------|------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 周环比 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ³ | 最高 ³ | 周环比 | 涨跌幅% | 月均值 | 年均值 | 最低 ³ | 最高 ³ | | |
| IOPLI62 | 62.5% Fe Lump | 891 | 5 | 0.6% | 891 | 843 | 575 | 891 | 115.08 | 0.61 | 0.53% | 115.08 | 108.58 | 76.63 | 115.08 |


国内精粉价格指数 (CIP)

| 2019/4/26(每周五) | | 元/吨 (不含税) ⁴ | | | | | | 美元/吨 (不含税) ⁴ | | | |
|----------------|----|------------------------|----|-----|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 省份 | 地区 | 铁精粉 | 备注 | 周五 | 环比 | 最低 ² | 最高 ² | 周五 | 环比 | 最低 ² | 最高 ² |
| 河北 | 邯邢 | 66% Fe | 干基 | 830 | 0.0% | 592 | 830 | 123.54 | -0.20% | 91.97 | 123.78 |
| 河北 | 迁安 | 65% Fe | 干基 | 775 | 1.3% | 630 | 815 | 115.35 | 1.11% | 100.26 | 117.34 |
| 辽宁 | 鞍山 | 65% Fe | 湿基 | 575 | 0.0% | 445 | 745 | 85.58 | -0.20% | 68.21 | 88.86 |
| 山东 | 淄博 | 65% Fe | 干基 | 820 | 0.0% | 645 | 860 | 122.05 | -0.20% | 99.75 | 127.11 |


2019/4/26(每周五) 本周 周环比% 最低² 最高² 1汇率:人民币/美元=6.73442 过去12个月³ 自2018年6月1日起⁴ 每周汇率均值:人民币/美元=6.7187

国内矿价格综合指数 670.62 0.5% 534.50 685.87

港口现货指数(青岛港口, 车板价, 元/湿吨)



进口矿海漂指数, CFR 青岛 (美元/干吨)



铁矿石港口现货指数月度, 季度, 年度均值

| 06/05/2019 | | 青岛港 (车板价·元/吨, 湿基含税) | | | | | | 港口现货指数折美金 (美元/干吨, 不含税) | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 一月 | 二月 | 三月 | 四月 | 月累计 | 季度至今年初至今 | 一月 | 二月 | 三月 | 四月 | 月累计 | 季度至今年初至今 | | |
| IOPI62 | 62% Fe Fines | 580 | 654 | 639 | 675 | 687 | 676 | 636 | 72.55 | 76.16 | 87.09 | 85.37 | 94.13 | 92.74 | 85.19 |
| IOPI58 | 58% Fe Fines | 448 | 569 | 583 | 600 | 610 | 601 | 548 | 50.47 | 57.99 | 75.22 | 77.49 | 84.04 | 82.21 | 73.00 |
| IOPI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 670 | 733 | 714 | 753 | 762 | 754 | 716 | 99.05 | 88.65 | 98.08 | 95.78 | 104.84 | 103.96 | 96.50 |

进口矿海漂指数月度, 季度, 年度均值

海运费 (美元/吨)

| 06/05/2019 | | 青岛港 (CFR, 美元/干吨) | | | | | | 03/05/2019 海运费 - 散货美元/湿吨 | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------|-------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 一月 | 二月 | 三月 | 四月 | 月累计 | 季度至今年初至今 | 变化 | 涨跌幅% | 最低 ² | 最高 ² | | | |
| IOSI62 | 62% Fe Fines | 76.09 | 87.39 | 86.18 | 93.42 | 92.67 | 93.33 | 85.84 | 西澳-青岛 | 6.58 | -0.94 | -12.51% | 4.39 | 10.00 |
| IOSI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 89.92 | 102.56 | 97.22 | 106.75 | 111.05 | 107.28 | 99.30 | 图巴朗-青岛 | 15.65 | -0.13 | -0.84% | 11.61 | 24.76 |


铁矿石港口现货块矿指数均值(月度, 季度, 年初至今)

| 2019/5/3 每周五 | | 青岛港 (车板价·元/吨, 湿基含税) | | | | | | 青岛港 (CFR, 美元/干吨, 不含税) ¹ | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| 港口现货 | 铁品位 | 一月 | 二月 | 三月 | 四月 | 月累计 | 季度至今年初至今 | 一月 | 二月 | 三月 | 四月 | 月累计 | 季度至今年初至今 | | |
| IOPLI62 | 62.5% Fe Lump | 782 | 842 | 858 | 872 | 891 | 876 | 843 | 96.21 | 94.22 | 99.57 | 111.03 | 115.08 | 113.30 | 108.58 |


指数溢价/折扣

| 06/05/2019 | | 港口现货指数 (元/湿吨) | | 06/05/2019 | | 青岛港 (CFR, 美元/干吨) | |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 索引 | 铁品位 | 与IOPI62价差 | 与IOPI62价差 (涨跌幅) | 索引 | 铁品位 | 与IOSI62价差 | 与IOSI62价差 (涨跌幅) |
| IOPI58 | 58% Fe Fines | -77 | -11.21% | IOSI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 18.80 | 20.48% |
| IOPI65 | 65% Fe Fines | 75 | 10.92% | | | | |

港口现货指数折美金与海漂指数走势



国内精粉价格指数(元/吨, 湿基含税)



各品种现货价格估值

| 06/05/2019 | 港口现货指数(元/湿吨) | | | 06/05/2019 | 青岛港(CFR, 美元/干吨) | | |
|------------|--------------|----|-----------|------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | 现货价格 | 变化 | 与IOPI62价差 | | 现货价格 | 变化 | 与IO SI62价差 |
| 罗伊山粉 | 661 | 0 | -26 | 罗伊山粉 | 87.87 | -1.45 | -3.93 |
| SIMEC 粉 | 654 | -2 | -33 | SIMEC 粉 | 85.77 | -1.45 | -6.03 |
| PB 粉 | 671 | 1 | -16 | PB 粉 | 91.62 | -1.45 | -0.18 |
| 纽曼粉 | 695 | 3 | 8 | 纽曼粉 | 94.40 | -1.45 | 2.60 |
| 麦克粉 | 665 | -1 | -22 | 麦克粉 | 88.07 | -1.45 | -3.73 |
| 金步巴粉 | 644 | 0 | -43 | 金步巴粉 | 87.15 | -1.45 | -4.65 |
| 卡粉 | 768 | 16 | 81 | 卡粉 | 110.32 | -1.45 | 18.52 |
| 巴粗 | 707 | 10 | 20 | 巴粗 | 96.80 | -1.45 | 5.00 |
| 巴混 | 707 | 9 | 20 | 巴混 | 96.30 | -1.45 | 4.50 |
| RTX 粉 | 657 | 0 | -30 | RTX 粉 | 87.25 | -1.45 | -4.55 |

| 0-Jan-00 | 港口现货指数(元/湿吨) | | |
|----------|--------------|----|-----------|
| | 现货价格 | 变化 | 与IOPI58价差 |
| 超特粉 | 582 | 6 | -28 |
| FMG 混合粉 | 618 | 5 | 8 |
| 罗布河粉 | 582 | 6 | -28 |
| 西部粉 | 607 | 6 | -3 |
| 阿特拉斯粉 | 588 | 5 | -22 |
| 杨迪粉 | 632 | 4 | 22 |


铁硅铝磷磷调

| | | | | 美元指数(美元/干吨度) | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|------------------------------|------|------|
| 适用范围 | | 变化 | | 适用范围 | | 变化 | |
| 每1% 铁调 | High Grade Fe 60 - 63% | 12.00 | 2.00 | 每1% 铁调 | High Grade Fe 60 - 63% | 3.00 | 0.00 |
| | High Grade Fe 63 - 64% | 15.00 | 0.00 | | High Grade Fe 63 - 64% | 3.50 | 0.00 |
| | High Grade Fe 64 - 65% | 15.00 | 0.00 | | High Grade Fe 64 - 65% | 3.50 | 0.00 |
| | High Grade Fe 65 - 65.5% | 15.00 | 0.00 | | High Grade Fe 65 - 65.5% | 3.50 | 0.00 |
| | Low Grade Fe | 13.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 每1% 铝调 | High Fe Grade Al <2.25% | 24.00 | 6.00 | 每1% 铝调 | High Fe Grade Al <2.25% | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| | High Fe Grade Al 2.25-4% | 6.00 | 0.00 | | High Fe Grade Al 2.25-4% | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| | Low Fe Grade Al <2.25% | 43.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Low Fe Grade Al 2.25-4% | 13.00 | -2.00 | | | | |
| 每1% 硅调 | High Fe Grade Si <4% | 5.00 | 2.00 | 每1% 硅调 | High Fe Grade Si <4% | 2.25 | 0.00 |
| | High Fe Grade Si 4-6.5% | 10.00 | 0.00 | | High Fe Grade Si 4 - 6.5% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 每0.01% 磷调 | Low Fe Grade | 2.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | High Fe Grade 0.09%<P<0.115% | 3.00 | 0.00 | 每0.01% 磷调 | High Fe Grade 0.09%<P<0.115% | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | High Fe Grade 0.115%<P<0.15% | 2.00 | 0.00 | | High Fe Grade 0.115%<P<0.15% | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | Low Fe Grade 0.09<P<0.1% | 6.00 | 0.00 | | | | |


与青岛港口价差 元/湿吨

| 港口 | 变化 | 港口 | 变化 | 港口 | 变化 | 港口 | 变化 |
|-----|--------|------|-----|--------|------|-----|--------|
| 鲅鱼圈 | -35.00 | 0.00 | 防城港 | -5.00 | 0.00 | 岚山 | 0.00 |
| 北仑 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 江阴 | -20.00 | 0.00 | 连云港 | 0.00 |
| 曹妃甸 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 京唐 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 马迹山 | 0.00 |
| 大连 | -10.00 | 0.00 | 岚桥 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 南通 | -20.00 |
| | | | | | | 青岛 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | 日照 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | 蛇口港 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | 天津 | -20.00 |
| | | | | | | | -5.00 |


指数溢价/折扣




铁矿石港口现货块矿溢价(62.5%块矿-62%粉矿)



海漂指数与港口现货指数折美金价差



港口库存总计(35港)



大商所收盘价-新交所掉期



港口库存(百万吨)

2019/04/26(百万吨)

| 港口 | 本周 | 涨跌幅% | 最低 ² | 最高 ² |
|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 京唐 | 14.64 | -5.91% | 13.29 | 18.10 |
| 青岛 | 17.00 | -0.76% | 16.09 | 19.50 |
| 曹妃甸 | 16.71 | -0.06% | 16.30 | 23.30 |
| 天津 | 7.90 | 6.90% | 7.39 | 10.80 |
| 日照 | 15.35 | -4.42% | 14.00 | 19.00 |
| 35港口总计 | 125.46 | -2.51% | 125.46 | 147.56 |


期货合约

| 日期 | 大商所 | | | 新交所 | | |
|---------|------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| | 2019/05/06(下午三点) | 较前一交易日 | 涨跌幅% | 2019/05/06 6.00pm | 较前一日 | 涨跌幅% |
| 合约 | 11909 | | | 五月 2019 | | |
| 收盘价 | 638.0 | -1.0 | -0.16% | 93.26 | -0.96 | -1.02% |
| 成交量(万手) | 137.33 | -39.9 | -22.50% | 10.51 | 8.68 | 476.62% |
| 持仓量(万手) | 124.35 | 1.9 | 1.52% | 100.34 | 0.93 | 0.94% |
| 最低 | 622.5 | -5.0 | -0.80% | 90.30 | -3.60 | -3.83% |
| 最高 | 640.0 | -2.0 | -0.31% | 93.37 | -1.41 | -1.49% |

海运费(美元/吨)



铁矿石进口量(百万吨)




国内钢材现货市场


钢材现货市场 (元/吨)

| 产品 | 26/4/2019 | 涨跌 | 涨跌幅 % |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----|--------|
| 螺纹 HRB400 ϕ 18mm | 4,130.0 | 30 | 0.73% |
| 线材 Q300 ϕ 6.5mm | 4,320.0 | 30 | 0.70% |
| 热卷 Q235/SS400 5.5mm*1500*C | 3,980.0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 冷卷 SPCC/ST12 1.0mm*1250*2500 | 4,340.0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 中厚板 Q235B 20mm | 4,080.0 | 10 | 0.25% |
| 镀锌 ST02Z 1.0mm*1000*C | 4,640.0 | -30 | -0.64% |
| 彩涂板 | 7,300.0 | 0 | 0.00% |

Weekly output of rebar




Weekly output of hot-rolled coil




国内钢厂利润

Rebar profits



Hot-rolled coil profits



国内钢厂利润


SMM 钢铁成本利润跟踪-螺纹钢和热卷 (元/吨)

| 品目 | Price | Change | Note |
|----------------------|-------|--------|---------------|
| 62%港口现货指数折美金 (美元/干吨) | 92.20 | -0.80 | |
| 焦炭 | 1,910 | 0 | 唐山二级冶金焦, 含税 |
| 废钢 | 2,230 | 10 | 张家港废钢6mm, 不含税 |
| 钢坯成本 | 3,030 | -24 | Q234, 含税 |
| 长流程钢厂螺纹钢成本 | 3,279 | -3 | 理计, 含税 |
| 长流程钢厂螺纹钢盈利 | 841 | 13 | 基于上海现货价格, 含税 |
| 长流程钢厂热轧板卷成本 | 3,355 | -1 | 过磅, 含税 |
| 长流程钢厂热轧板卷盈利 | 635 | 11 | 基于上海现货价格, 含税 |


备注: 1表格中成本计算基本当天市场价格, 不包含管理费用、销售费用、财务成本以及折旧费用。

2成本为SMM调研的国内大中型钢厂平均成本


国内钢厂利润



钢铁库存



In-plant inventory of rebar



IRON ORE INDEX SPECIFICATIONS, COMPILATION RATIONALE AND DATA EXCLUSIONS

铁矿石指数规格 (港口现货和漂货)

| | 65% Fe Fines | 62% Fe Fines | 58% Fe Fines | 62.5% Fe Lump |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| 铁品位 % | 65.00 | 62.00 | 58.00 | 62.50 |
| 铝 % | 1.40 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 1.50 |
| 硅 % | 1.50 | 4.00 | 5.50 | 3.50 |
| 磷 % | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| 硫 % | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 水分子 % | 8.00 | 8.00 | 9.00 | 4.00 |
| 粒度 | 90%以上粒度小于10mm, 40%以下粒度小于150微米 | | | 粒度 < 6.3mm 比例不超过 15% 粒度 > 31.13mm 比例不超过 1% |
| 港口 | 青岛港 (车板价&CFR价格) | | | 青岛港口车板价 |
| 日期 (漂货) | 4周以内装船, 8周以内发运 | | | 两周内交货 |
| 付款方式 | 即期信用证 | | | 即期信用证/付现交单 |

MMI港口现货指数汇编取自于铁矿石产业链客户提供的港口现货成交, 包括贸易商, 矿山和钢厂的成交数据。MMI获取成交数据之后先进行标准化, 剔除不符合标准数据之后, 再根据成交量算出加权平均价格。

想要获取MMI铁矿石港口现货指数方法论, 请见网址: www.mmiprices.com

| 数据排除* | | | |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| | 62% | 58% | 65% |
| 港口指数 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 海运指数 | 0 | | 0 |
| 块矿指数 62.5 | 0 | | |

数据排除*
*当日指数计算若发现成交数据异常且无法被核实的将会被排除在外, 不纳入指数计算。

IRON ORE DOMESTIC CONCENTRATE INDEX CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

国内矿价格指数: SMM通过采集河北, 辽宁, 山东和安徽四个省份, 25个地区(精粉产量占全国总产量53%)国内铁精粉成交价格作为国内铁矿石价格指数的数据来源; 通过不同地区和不同品位计算得出综合价格指数; 统一换算湿基含税标准价格后再根据各个地区民营矿山产能作为权重, 通过加权计算得出国内矿价格指数。

各品种价格估值所适用的指标均值

港口现货指标

| 0-Jan-00 | 各品种当前指标 | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | 铁品位 | 铝 | 硅 | 磷 | 水分子 |
| 罗伊山粉 | 61.16% | 2.25% | 4.61% | 0.052% | 9.35% |
| SIMEC 粉 | 60.00% | 2.30% | 6.30% | 0.060% | 6.00% |
| PB 粉 | 61.59% | 2.33% | 3.76% | 0.098% | 9.23% |
| 纽曼粉 | 62.47% | 2.26% | 4.21% | 0.090% | 7.45% |
| 麦克粉 | 60.84% | 2.25% | 4.91% | 0.085% | 7.87% |
| 金布巴粉 | 60.97% | 2.92% | 4.55% | 0.099% | 7.75% |
| 卡粉 | 65.40% | 1.30% | 1.43% | 0.082% | 8.28% |
| 巴粗 | 62.00% | 1.00% | 6.50% | 0.040% | 6.00% |
| 巴混 | 62.88% | 1.38% | 4.79% | 0.074% | 8.44% |
| RTX 粉 | 61.00% | 3.10% | 4.50% | 0.135% | 7.50% |
| 0-Jan-00 | 58%指数所适用的产品规格 | | | | |
| | 铁品位 | 铝 | 硅 | 磷 | 水分子 |
| 超特粉 | 56.72% | 3.09% | 5.99% | 0.051% | 8.95% |
| FMG 混合粉 | 58.25% | 2.71% | 5.65% | 0.064% | 7.37% |
| 罗布河粉 | 56.64% | 2.91% | 5.58% | 0.035% | 9.29% |
| 西部粉 | 57.88% | 2.87% | 7.50% | 0.062% | 7.45% |
| 阿特拉斯粉 | 56.59% | 2.55% | 7.14% | 0.080% | 8.46% |
| 杨迪粉 | 57.10% | 1.48% | 6.52% | 0.044% | 8.65% |

海漂货指标

| | 各品种当前指标 | | | | |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| | 铁品位 | 铝 | 硅 | 磷 | 水分子 |
| 罗伊山粉 | 60.70% | 2.30% | 4.90% | 0.055% | 8.00% |
| SIMEC 粉 | 60.00% | 2.30% | 6.30% | 0.060% | 6.00% |
| PB 62 粉 | 62.00% | 2.60% | 4.30% | 0.090% | 10.00% |
| 纽曼粉 | 62.80% | 2.20% | 4.30% | 0.080% | 6.40% |
| 麦克粉 | 61.00% | 2.70% | 4.70% | 0.110% | 9.30% |
| 金布巴粉 | 61.79% | 2.67% | 4.08% | 0.115% | 7.16% |
| 卡粉 | 65.10% | 1.50% | 1.70% | 0.080% | 8.50% |
| 巴粗 | 62.00% | 1.00% | 6.50% | 0.040% | 6.00% |
| 巴混 | 62.50% | 1.50% | 5.00% | 0.070% | 7.00% |
| RTX 粉 | 61.00% | 3.10% | 4.50% | 0.135% | 7.50% |

彭博

PORT STOCK INDICES

| | FOT Qingdao (RMB/wet tonne) | CFR Qingdao Equivalent (USD/dry tonne) |
|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| IOP162 | IRCQN001 | IRCNQ004 |
| IOP158 | IRCQN002 | IRCNQ005 |
| IOP165 | IRCQN003 | IRCNQ006 |

联系我们

MMI 新加坡办: 新加坡克罗斯街8号, 宏利大厦28层 电话: +65 6850 7629, 邮箱: Jarek@mmiprices.com
SMM 新加坡办: 新加坡克罗斯街8号, 宏利大厦28层 电话: +65 6850 7630, 邮箱: service.en@smm.cn
SMM 上海办: 上海市浦东新区峨山路91弄20号陆家嘴软件园9号楼南区9层 电话: +86 021 5155 0306 邮箱: service.en@smm.cn

访问www.mmiprices.com获取完整的索引价格历史记录和每日报告存档

本报告系MMI独家汇编, 报告中观点、数据等均仅供参考, 风险自负。MMI依法享有著作权, 未经书面许可, 不得转载、修改、销售、转让、展示、翻译、汇编和传播。

the effect of the intervention on the incidence of *S. pneumoniae* carriage was estimated by comparing the carriage rates in the intervention and control groups.

Statistical significance was determined using the Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous variables. All statistical analyses were performed using the Stata 7.0 software package (College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Study population

The study population consisted of 1123 children in 11 day care centres, 543 in the intervention group and 580 in the control group. The mean age of the children in the intervention group was 2.5 years (range 1.5–5.1 years) and in the control group was 2.4 years (range 1.5–5.1 years). The majority of the children in both groups were of Caucasian ethnicity (97.5% in the intervention group and 97.8% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were born in the United Kingdom (97.1% in the intervention group and 97.4% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).

The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group). The majority of the children in both groups were in day care centres for less than 2 years (83.9% in the intervention group and 83.3% in the control group).